

Meeting Agenda

Perilya North Mine - Community Consultative Committee

Meeting No. 1

14 May 2018 – 5:00pm – 7:00pm

Location: Perilya Southern Operations, Wentworth Road, Broken Hill

Attendees:

Chairperson: Margaret Harvie (Chairperson)

Community representatives: Chris Dougherty, Linda Nadge, Allan Carter, Isabelle Eriksson, Mary Ellen Jinks, Christine Adams, Jody Whitehair

Local Government representative: James Roncon (Broken Hill City Council)

Perilya representatives: Geoff Hender - Deputy General Manager - Broken Hill Operations, Adam Forster - Health Safety Environment and Training (HSET) Manager, Brett Bussell - Environment Superintendent.

Persons in attendance: Christie Roll (notetaker)

Item	Description	Details
1.	Welcome and Apologies	While Anne Andrews was originally to be the representative from Council, James Roncon indicated that he will be the ongoing representative for the Community Consultative Committee (CCC)
2.	Introductions	Round the table introduction. Members were asked to introduce themselves and give a brief overview of why they were motivated to be on the CCC. There was a range of perspectives including the desire for the mine to be successful and to bring economic development to Broken Hill. There was also comment about the potential impacts including concern about lead levels. There was a shared view to see the mine succeed in consideration of various concerns and interests.
3.	Declarations of pecuniary and other interests	Linda Nadge is a business owner of Outback Astronomy, located on the Barrier Highway. Linda was a former Commercial Manager employed by Perilya and her husband works for Consolidated mining and Civil (CMC), which does contracting work for Perilya. Isabelle Eriksson has advised that her partner is a business owner of a steel fabrication & crane hire business that Perilya may or may not conduct business with, time to time. There are no contracts in place.
4.	Overview of the operations to date	Geoff Hender (GH) – Presentation on the overview of the operations to date and the path forward. Action Point – A copy of the presentation from today’s meeting has been uploaded to the Perilya website and will be available to download. http://www.perilya.com.au/health--safety--environment/community/bhnmccc
5.	Questions	Christine Adams (CA) – Any idea of a date for the start of mining? GH in response – dependent on approval of management plans. Our 2018 Budget had ore being produced from North Mine commencing May, this will not occur until the Conditions of Consent (CoC) items are met, potentially in the 3 rd or 4 th quarter of the year. Margaret Harvie (MH) – When will be a good time for the CCC to tour the site? GH in response – depends on what the CCC would like to see. Not a lot to see at the moment and underground would be of no benefit. GH suggested to wait until the project is in the construction phase for the CCC to tour as a group. In the meantime he is happy to arrange individual or group tours if people want to make direct contact to request this. Linda Nadge (LN) – Any idea of the number of trucks and when they will be moving around after 7pm as it is this that may raise potential for community concern? Also will the trucks have shading (covers on/over the headlights)? GH in response – Perilya will be limiting the truck movement after a certain time at night and trucking will only be to the run of mine (ROM) pad. Trucks will not have shading, no.

		<p>Allan Carter (AC) – Is the approach to mining to target the upper levels different to the lower levels? GH in response – material is a higher grade in “The Deeps”, which is 26 level and below. There has been a number of technology changes, including improvement of the conditions in the mine through cooling systems and the operational equipment.</p>
6.	Draft Terms of Reference for the CCC	<p>MH briefly explained the draft Terms of Reference (ToR) and opened it up to questions or concerns.</p> <p>AC asked a question about item 2, ‘ongoing communication of information’ to who?</p> <p>Action Point – MH to revise wording for item 2 - ‘proponent to provide information’.</p>
7.	Publication of CCC member contact details	<p>MH asked the CCC whether there were any concerns with having their names published.</p> <p>AC commented on the control and parameters around how the committee works, and that questions should be channelled through the Chairperson, rather than the CCC members being answerable to the community. Contact details including email addresses to be published for Margaret and Geoff with names only to be published for the CCC members.</p> <p>Any questions received from the community will be tabled by the Chairperson to the CCC and are most likely to be answered by Perilya.</p>
8.	Future meetings	<p>It was agreed that future meetings will be held during the day on any day other than Monday and Tuesday. It was agreed that in general the best option would be a Friday and before 4pm.</p> <p>Action Point – MH to look at a suitable date & time for the next meeting and send out an email with a proposed day and time. It is requested that CCC members respond to confirm that they are available.</p>
10.	Round the table with final questions/ comments about the project/ CCC process	<p>Chris Doherty (CD) – indicated that he thought the presentation was fantastic and makes you realise just how much Perilya has had to do in advance of mining commencing. CD asked how we can communicate and get the message out to the community through means beside just the website. He feels that the website won’t be a place that everyone will visit. He suggested that we look at the possibility of putting together a media release.</p> <p>Action – MH to look at developing words for a press release to talk about:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How the CCC plan to communicate to the community • Say that there was a positive vibe to the meeting • What occurred at the first meeting including GH presentation and endorsement of the ToR • Say that the CCC were surprised about the number of hurdles that Perilya had to go over to get approval for this development. <p>AC – agreed with CD, need to emphasise the number of hurdles that Perilya has overcome. It would be valuable to advise the community about the CCC and outline what it is trying/going to do. This will help promote Perilya’s image as a corporate citizen. AC had no major issues with the project but asked whether the ore will be processed at the South mine and hence trucked? He indicated that this is when the community angst will occur and the CCC members will most likely be approached. He believed a protocol need to be agreed for when the CCC have discussions on issues, when approached by the community. Clarity on what to do with enquiries that come to CCC members.</p> <p>CA – Agreed with CD about the need to communicate to the community. Asked if something could be done similar to the Probus report on the pipeline that had been published in the paper? She indicated that she felt that the angst or concern will start when Perilya begins trucking. Although the trucking has been happening for a long time, at this time there will be complaints.</p> <p>LN – Agreed with CD that the CCC members need to communicate and be available to answer questions or bring items raised by community members to the meetings. LN reflected on the outcome of the community meeting she attended in December 2016 on the re-opening of the North Mine. The Barrier Daily Truth (BDT) did not report on the project details in a way that adequately informed the public. LN indicated that Perilya may need to pay for advertising to ensure the accurate messages to inform the community appear in the BDT. LN believes that Perilya and the CCC chair should be the contact points for media and agreed that the CCC Chair may want to do a media release to announce the CCC is up and running. Agrees that the media release doesn’t have to be detailed.</p>

		<p>Isabelle Eriksson (IE) – Indicated that she did not support a haul road going through the centre of town for the next 25 years. She wants to see the main street and centre of town left for the community to enjoy. She understands that the combined approvals are for 160 trucks a day. Her concerns included the lead dust that will be on the trucks. She was interested in other more cost effective options being pursued including the possibility of a bypass road and getting government funding for this. MH indicated that while she appreciated the community concern about the impact of trucking, solutions including a bypass road were not part of the requirements for the current Department of Planning and Environment Approval.</p> <p>James Roncon (JR) has received feedback from the community that there are trucks running along Crystal St every ten minutes. He is aware that initially the project was looking to use another section of Crystal St, but had to revert back to approved route. From a Council perspective while there would be the preference for the trucks to turn a street before Iodide St the idea was not supported by the community. JR asked about the issues around the use of rail.</p> <p>GH responded that is not feasible as previous use of rail did not meet the production requirements of the current project. Other complaints from the community that have been received by Council include speeding and dangerous driving. These have been addressed by Perilya and CMC very quickly.</p> <p>Mary Ellen Jinks (MEJ) – agreed with previous comments and had nothing to add.</p> <p>Jody Whitehair (JW) – agreed with previous comments. Wants to review the guidelines and the best way to share the information from the CCC with the community. She considers that the CCC are the ears and eyes of the community to bring back information to the meeting. As a result of this Perilya can address items in advance of them becoming larger issues in the community.</p>
11.	Close	Meeting closed at 7:00 pm